Time and

244232.1

Thomas V. Reichert - State Bar No. 171299 1 tvr@birdmarella.com LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT BIRD, MARELLA, BOXER, WOLPERT, 2 NESSIM, DROOKS & LÍNCENBERG, P.C. 1875 Century Park East, 23rd Floor Los Angeles, California 90067-2561 Telephone: (310) 201-2100 3 APR 17 2008 4 JOHN A. CLARKE, CLERK Facsimile: (310) 201-2110 5 BY SHAUNYA WESLEY, DEPUTY Attorneys for Plaintiff Shirley Schroit 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CENTRAL DISTRICT 9 10 BC389152 Shirley Schroit, an individual, CASE NO. 11 Plaintiff, 12 COMPLAINT FOR FINANCIAL ABUSE OF AN ELDER AND 13 VS. RELATED CAUSES OF ACTION B'nai David-Judea Congregation, a California corporation, and DOES 1 15 through 25, Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff Shirley Schroit alleges as follows: 18 Plaintiff Shirley Schroit ("Mrs. Schroit") is an individual residing in 19 Los Angeles County, California. 20 Mrs. Schroit is more than 65 years of age and is an "elder" as defined 21 in Welfare & Inst. Code § 15610.27. 22 3. Mrs. Schroit is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that B and Defendant B'nai David-Judea Congregation ("BDJ") is a corporation and believes and thereupon alleges that B and 23 24 existing under the laws of the State of California. Its principal place of business 25 located in Los Angeles, California. 26 The true names and capacities of defendants DOES 1 through 25 are 27 unknown to Mrs. Schroit, and she will seek leave of the Court to amend this 28

COMPLAINT FOR ELDER ABUSE

complaint to allege such names and capacities as soon as they are ascertained. Mrs. Schroit is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of the fictitiously-named defendants is responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged and that Mrs. Schroit's damages as herein alleged were proximately caused by those defendants.

- 5. At all times mentioned herein, Mrs. Schroit is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each DOE defendant was the agent or employee of BDJ and was acting in the course of such agency and employment.
- 6. Mrs. Schroit is the widow of Rabbi Philip Schroit. Rabbi Schroit died in August 2002. Rabbi Schroit became the rabbi of BDJ in 1948, and he officially retired fifty years later, in 1998. After 1998, he held the title of Rabbi Emeritus. Mrs. Schroit was married to Rabbi Schroit throughout the time that he was the Rabbi of BDJ, and was his wife at time of his death.
- 7. Not later than January 1986, Rabbi Schroit expressed his desire to the BDJ Board to establish a fund for his retirement. The Board and Rabbi Schroit discussed this and agreed that, for tax-planning purposes, BDJ would establish certain bank accounts for this purpose.
- 8. On or about January 22, 1986, BDJ established an account (account number 9-009625-6) at Union Federal Savings and Loan Association (the "CD Account") and deposited \$100,000 into the account to fund the purchase of a \$100,000 certificate of deposit. The account was titled in the name of "Bnai David Judea Rabbi Schroit Retirement Trust, Allan W. Wolfson, Trustee."
- 9. At the same time, a money market account (account 9-305811-3) in the same name was established to hold the interest earned on the \$100,000 CD in the CD Account (the "Money Market Account"). Collectively, the \$100,000 deposited into the CD Account and funds reflecting the interest accrued in the rollover Money Market Account are referred to hereafter as the "Rabbi Retirement Funds".
 - 10. As reflected in the name of the accounts, the Rabbi Retirement Funds

244232.1

were established to provide retirement funds for Rabbi Schroit under a program that would allow the funds to grow through a tax-deferred strategy.

- 11. As a result of various banking mergers between 1986 and the present, Citibank became the depository bank for the CD Account and the Money Market Account. As of January 2006, the two accounts bore account numbers 732-000-8167 (Certificate of Deposit) and 732-400-4980 (Money Market rollover account).
- 12. As of November 2005, the account statements for the Money Market Account were sent to Mrs. Schroit's home address. The addressee of the statements was "Bnai David Judea Congregation for Rabbi Philip Schroit."
- 13. As of November 2005, the amount in the Money Market rollover interest account was approximately \$41,500, while the amount of the CD remained \$100,000. Accordingly, the Rabbi Retirement Funds totaled approximately \$141,500.
- 14. These accounts were established with the intent to create an irrevocable trust for the benefit of Rabbi Schroit as a means of providing tax-deferred compensation to him for his retirement or, in the event of his death, to Mrs. Schroit as his widow and intestate successor.
- taken the position that it is the owner of the CD Account and the Money Market Account; that it is entitled to exclusive possession of the CD Account and the Money Market Account and all funds contained therein; that it owns all of the Rabbi Retirement Funds; and that Mrs. Schroit has no entitlement to any of the Rabbi Retirement Funds. Indeed, despite the fact that BDJ is not the Trustee on the accounts, BDJ and DOES 1 through 15 have caused Citigroup to alter the accounts, change the names on the accounts, change the address to which the account statements are sent, and to deny Mrs. Schroit access to any information regarding the accounts or to the funds contained therein.
 - 16. Despite repeated demands by Mrs. Schroit upon BDJ for the Rabbi

The state of the s

Retirement Funds and access to the CD Account and the Money Market Account, BDJ has repeatedly denied such demands and continuously asserted that it is the rightful owner of the funds and the accounts. As a result, BDJ has denied Mrs. Schroit, the octogenarian widow of BDJ's rabbi for five decades, access to the retirement funds established by her husband to help provide for her in her senior years of life.

- 17. When Mrs. Schroit made demand upon BDJ for these funds, BDJ stated that it would deny Mrs. Schroit access to other retirement funds she is receiving if she followed through with her demand to attempt to obtain access to these funds through legal means, despite the fact that BDJ has no legal basis or authority to support this threat against an elderly widow.
- 18. The conduct of BDJ and DOES 1 through 25 constitutes elder abuse as defined in Welfare & Institutions Code § 15610.30.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Conversion)

- 19. Plaintiff incorporates by this reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 18, above, as though they were set forth in full at this point.
- 20. At all times herein mentioned, plaintiff was, and still is, entitled to possession of the Rabbi Retirement Funds contained in the CD Account and the Money Market Account.
- 21. At a date uncertain but within the past two years, defendant BDJ and DOES 1 through 25 took the property described above and converted the same to BDJ's own use by causing Citibank to change the name on the account and transferring the funds to BDJ's own use.
- 22. At the time BDJ and DOES 1 through 25 converted the property, they were guilty of malice, oppression, and a willful and conscious disregard for the rights of Mrs. Schroit in that each defendant, without making any investigation and with reckless indifference and willful and conscious disregard of the rights of any

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

person who may have had an interest in the converted property, and particularly for the rights of plaintiff, did convert the property.

23. Further, after knowledge and notice of Mrs. Schroit's interest in the converted property was given to defendants, defendants failed and refused, and continue to fail and refuse, to return the property. By reason of these acts Mrs. Schroit has been oppressed and seeks punitive and exemplary damages against the DOE defendants, and will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to seek punitive damages against BDJ.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of Elder Abuse and Dependent Adult Civil Protection Act)

- 24. Plaintiff incorporates by this reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 23, above, as though they were set forth in full at this point.
- 25. As the intestate successor to her husband, Mrs. Schroit had the right to have the Rabbi Retirement Funds transferred or made readily available to her, and Defendants knew or should have known that she had this right.
- Within the last two years, through the acts of BDJ and DOES of taking, 26. appropriating and/or retaining the Rabbi Retirement Funds, or assisting in said taking, appropriating and/or retaining the Rabbi Retirement Funds for a wrongful use or with the intent to defraud, Mrs. Schroit was harmed and deprived of her right to use and enjoy said funds.
- Defendants' conduct was a substantial factor in causing Mrs. Schroit's 27. harm.
- 28. Defendants' conduct was undertaken with recklessness, oppression, fraud or malice, and plaintiff seeks punitive and exemplary damages, and all other damages and compensation available under the Elder Abuse and Dependent Adult Civil Protection Act, Welfare & Institutions Code § 15657.5(a) against all DOE defendants, and will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to seek punitive damages against BDJ.

5

8

9

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligence)

- Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 28 inclusive herein, as if set 29. forth in full at this point.
- Defendants and each of them owed Mrs. Schroit a duty of care, which 30. each one breached, thereby causing plaintiff to experience foreseeable harm and injury.
- 31. Defendants owed a duty to Mrs. Schroit to preserve and maintain the funds that had been deposited into the CD Account and the Money Market Account for the benefit of her husband, Rabbi Philip Schroit, and upon his death for her benefit, and to make them available to her upon her demand.
- 32. Defendants have breached their duty to Mrs. Schroit by refusing to provide the funds that were for her benefit, despite her repeated demands for such funds, which has caused Mrs. Schroit foreseeable injuries and damages.
- Mrs. Schroit has repeatedly demanded that the Rabbi Retirement Funds 33. be remitted to her and asserted her entitlement to such funds. Mrs. Schroit has repeatedly demanded that BDJ provide any and all evidence that supports its assertion that it is the rightful beneficiary of the CD Account and the Money Market Account notwithstanding the names on the accounts and Mrs. Schroit's assertion to the contrary, yet BDJ has provided no evidence whatsoever to support its assertion.
- As a proximate result of Defendants' failure to comply with its duty to 34. Mrs. Schroit as the rightful owner of and claimant to the Rabbi Retirement Funds, Mrs. Schroit has suffered general damages in an amount according to proof.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION (BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY)

- 35. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 34 as though fully set forth herein.
 - In taking the Rabbi Retirement Funds of Rabbi Schroit and establishing 36.

the CD Account and the Money Market Account, BDJ and the DOE defendants became the agent for Rabbi Schroit and for Mrs. Schroit as his legal wife, and subsequently as his widow and intestate successor. BDJ owed Rabbi Schroit and Mrs. Schroit a fiduciary duty in their handling and maintenance of such funds.

- 37. Defendants breached that duty and the correlative duties of loyalty and care by, among other things, treating the Rabbi Retirement Funds as their own; by changing the names on the CD Account and the Money Market Account and the addresses to which statements on these accounts were sent to deny Mrs. Schroit access to the Rabbi Retirement Funds or to information regarding said accounts or funds; by failing upon request to provide information regarding the Rabbi Retirement Funds to Mrs. Schroit; by failing to properly account for the Rabbi Retirement Funds upon demand by Mrs. Schroit; by failing timely to pay the Rabbi Retirement Funds to Mrs. Schroit upon her demand; by denying the existence of Mrs. Schroit's rights in the Rabbi Retirement Funds, the CD Account or the Money Market Account; and by otherwise misusing the Rabbi Retirement Funds for BDJ's own benefit and not for the benefit of Mrs. Schroit.
- 38. The foregoing damaged Mrs. Schroit, among other ways, in that the conduct of BDJ and Does has prevented Mrs. Schroit from enjoying the benefit and use of the Rabbi Retirement Funds in her advanced years of life, and Mrs. Schroit has incurred expenses in enforcing her rights as the rightful owner and claimant of the Rabbi Retirement Funds, all in an amount to be proved at the time of trial.
- 39. The aforementioned conduct of Defendants was willful and malicious and was intended to oppress and cause injury to Mrs. Schroit, in conscious disregard of her rights, and Mrs. Schroit will seek an award of punitive and exemplary damages against the DOE defendants, and will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to seek punitive damages against BDJ.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200)

- 40. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 39 inclusive herein, as if set forth in full at this point.
- 41. The acts of BDJ described above constitute an unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act or practice in violation of California Business & Professions Code § 17200.
- 42. BDJ's act or practice of denying Mrs. Schroit access to the Rabbi Retirement Funds violates the Elder Abuse and Dependent Adult Civil Protection Act and, consequently, constitutes an unlawful business act or practice within the meaning of Business and Professions Code § 17200.
- 43. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned acts, BDJ received and continues to hold the Rabbi Retirement Funds belonging to Mrs. Schroit.

WHEREFORE, Mrs. Schroit prays judgment against defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- 44. For the value of the Rabbi Retirement Funds;
- 45. For interest at the legal rate on the foregoing sum pursuant to Section 3336 of the Civil Code;
- 46. For issuance of a protective order and/or temporary restraining order pursuant to Welfare and Institutions Code § 15657.03;
 - 47. For an accounting;
- 48. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17203 and pursuant to the equitable powers of this Court, Mrs. Schroit prays that defendants be preliminarily and permanently enjoined from asserting ownership, dominion or control over the Rabbi Retirement Funds;
 - 49. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code § 17203 and pursuant to the

1	equitable p	owers of this Court, M	Irs. Schroit prays that defendants be ordered to			
2	restore to M	Ars. Schroit all funds a	acquired by means of any act or practice declared			
3	by this Court to be unlawful or fraudulent or to constitute unfair competition under					
4	Business ar	nd Professions Code se	ection 17200 et seq.;			
5	50.	For punitive and exe	emplary damages;			
6	51.	For issuance of a wr	rit of attachment, pursuant to Welfare & Institutions			
7	Code 1565'	7.01;				
8	52.	For attorneys' fees a	and costs, pursuant to Welfare & Institutions Code			
9	§ 15657.5(a	a);				
10	53.	For all other damage	es and compensation available under the Elder			
11	Abuse and	Dependent Adult Civi	il Protection Act, Welfare & Institutions Code			
12	§ 15657.5(a	a);				
13	54.	For costs of suit here	ein incurred; and			
14	55.	For such other and f	further relief as the Court deems proper			
15	i					
16	DATED: A	pril 16, 2008	Thomas V. Reichert			
17			BIRD, MARELLA, BOXER, WOLPERT, NESSIM. DROOKS & LINCENBERG. P.C.			
18			$\sim \sim$			
19			By:			
20			Thomas V. Reichert Attorneys for Plaintiff Shirley Schroit			
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26		•				
27						
28						

9 COMPLAINT FOR ELDER ABUSE

244232.1



NOTICE TO DEFENDANT:

(AVISO AL DEMANDADO):
B'NAI DAVID-JUDEA CONGREGATION, a California corporation, and DOES 1 through 25

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

SHIRLEY SCHROIT, an individual

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol/), en la biblioteca de leves de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol/) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales.

Clerk, by

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es):	CASE NUMBER: (Número del Caso):
LOS ANGÉLES COUNTY SUPÉRIOR COURT Central District	
111 North Hill Street	
Los Angeles, CA 90012	
The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without a (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del	
	310-201-2100
Bird, Marella, et al.	
1875 Century Park East, 23rd Floor	
Los Angeles, CA 90067-2561	

(Fecha) (Secretario) (For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).)

OS-010)).

(Para prueba de er	ntrega de esta citation use el formulano Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010 NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served
(SEAL)	as an individual defendant.
	2. as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):
100 miles (100 miles (3. on behalf of (specify):
The state of the s	under: CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) other (specify):

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California SUM-100 [Rev. January 1, 2004]

DATE:

CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.70 (conservatee) CCP 416.90 (authorized person)

Code of Civil Procedure §§ 412.20, 465

, Deputy

(Adjunto)

Page 1 of 1

by personal delivery on (date):

	······································	CM-010				
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Ba		FOR COURT USE ONLY				
	.71299)					
Bird, Marella, Boxer, Wolpe	ert, et al.	FILED				
1875 Century Park East		LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT				
23rd Floor		LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT				
Los Angeles, CA 90067-2561						
TELEPHONE NO.: 310-201-2100	FAX NO.: 310-201-2110	·				
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Shirley Schroit		4DD 1 7 2000				
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LO	S ANGELES	APR 1 7 2008				
STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill S	Street					
MAILING ADDRESS:		JOHN A. CLARKE, CLERK				
city and zip code: Los Angeles, CA	90012	47				
BRANCH NAME: Central		The Tay of DEDUTY				
CASE NAME: Schroit v. B'nai	David-Judea	BY SHAUNYA WESLEY, DEPUTY				
	·	CASE NUMBER: BC 389152				
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER: BC387135				
X Unlimited Limited	Counter Joinder					
(Amount (Amount	Filed with first appearance by defenda	ant JUDGE:				
demanded demanded is exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	DEPT:				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_ 					
	elow must be completed (see instruction.	s on page 2).				
1. Check one box below for the case type that						
Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation				
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)				
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)				
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)				
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort		Mass tort (40)				
Achaetas (O4)	Insurance coverage (18)					
Asbestos (04)	X Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)				
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)				
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the				
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	above listed provisionally complex case				
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)				
	Other real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment				
Business tort/unfair business practice (07	,					
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)				
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint				
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)				
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)				
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	Miscellaneous Civil Petition				
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)				
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)				
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)					
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)					
2. This case is X is not com		les of Court. If the case is complex, mark the				
factors requiring exceptional judicial mana		ies of Court. If the case is complex, mark the				
a. Large number of separately repre		of witnesses				
b. Extensive motion practice raising		with related actions pending in one or more courts				
issues that will be time-consumin	<u></u>	ies, states, or countries, or in a federal court				
c. Substantial amount of documenta		estjudgment judicial supervision				
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a	. X monetary b. X nonmonetary: o	declaratory or injunctive relief c. X punitive				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		, ,				
4. Number of causes of action (specify): fi	.ve					
5. This case is X is not a cla	iss action suit.					
6. If there are any known related cases, file a	and serve a notice of related case. (You n	nav use form CM-015)				
Date: April 16 , 2008	into deliver at the tipe of foldition bases. (1 out the	nay ado ronn our-o ron				
	(1200)	$\langle A, \lambda \rangle \rangle$				
Thomas V. Reichert (SNB 17		SNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)				
(THE ON AMIT MANIE)		SNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNET FOR PARTY)				
Definitiff mount file this power shout with the	NOTICE					
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the under the Probate Code, Family Code, or V.	Molfare and Institutions Code). (Col. Bule	g (except small claims cases or cases filed				
insanctions.	venare and institutions code), (Cat. Rule:	s of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result				
File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.						
a If this case is complex under rule 3 400 et	sec of the California Rules of Court you	ı must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all				
other parties to the action or proceeding.	504. Of the Samornia Rules of Court, you	i must serve a copy of this cover sheet off all				
Uhless this is a collections case under rule	a 3.740 or a complex case, this cover she	eet will be used for statistical purposes only				
		Page 1 of 2				
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use	CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	[ega] Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3.220, 3.400-3.403, 3.740;				
Judicial Council of California CM-010 (Rev. July 1, 2007)	Sol	utions Cal. Standards of Judicial Administration, std. 3.10				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		⊙ Plus				

	SHORT TITLE:	Schroit	v.	B'nai	David-	Judea
--	--------------	---------	----	-------	--------	-------

CASE	NUI	٨R	FR

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION ERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURT HOUSE

	(CERTIFIC	ATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCA	ATION)
	This form is required	pursuant to LASC Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angele	s Superior Court.
Iter Ste the Ste Ste	pry trial? X yes completed the correct of the corre	district and courthouse location (4 steps – If you checked "Limited Case", string the Civil Case Cover Sheet Form, find the main civil case cover sheet in to the right in Column A, the Civil Case Cover Sheet case type you selected be rior Court type of action in Column B below which best describes the nature collected the reason for the court location choice that applies to the type of action yourt location, see Los Angeles Superior Court Local Rule 2.0. Cable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (See Column C below the filed in the County Courthouse, Central District. If (Other county, or no Bodily Injury/Property Damage). If (Other county, or no Bodily Injury/Property Damage). If injury, death or damage occurred. If injury, death or damage occurred. If injury death or defendant resides. If you checked "Limited Case", set in the main civil case cover sheet in the mai	reading for your case d. e of this case, you have checked. w) unently garaged vehicle, ides, espondent functions wholl of the parties reside, ner Office.
516	1	tion requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration	
ŧ	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Auto Tort	Auto (22)	A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.
Aut	Uninsured Motorist (46)	A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist	1., 2., 4.
y Other Fersonal Injury/Froperty ort Damage/Wrongful Death Tort	Asbestos (04)	A6070 Asbestos Property Damage A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2. 2.
	Product Liability (24)	A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3., 4., 8.
	Medical Malpractice (45)	A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4.
	Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.) A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 4.
h Tol	Business Tort (07)	A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1., 2., 3.
gful Death	Civil Rights (08)	A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1., 2., 3.
	Defamation (13)	A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)	1., 2., 3.
Wron	Fraud (16)	A6013 Fraud (no contract)	1., 2., 3.
Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Tort	7.3		

Judicial Review Unlawful Detainer

Real Property

doyment	
Wrongful Death Tort (Cont'd.)	NOTIFIER SOLIDER INJURY PRINCIPE LY DE
-	č

SHORTTILE: Schroit v. B'nai David-Judea

2., 5.	Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	3118A		(FF) notistration (FF)
2., 6.	Asset Forfeiture Case	8019A		(20) enutietne feesA
2., 6.	Detainer-Drugs	SS09A		- Unlawful Detainer (36) Suru Drugs
2., 6.	Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	0S09A		- Unlawful Detainer Residential (32)
2., 6.	Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	1209A		Unlawful Detainer - Commercial (31)
2., 6.	Other Real Property (not eminent domain, Iandlord/tenant, foreclosure)	0909∀		
.9, .5	Quiet Title	SE09A		(92)
.9 ,.5	Mortgage Foreclosure	8109A		Other Real Property
2., 6.	Wrongful Eviction Case	£209A		Wrongful Eviction (33)
2.	Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	00£₹A		Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)
1., 2., 3., 8.	Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	7209A		
1" 5" 3" 2"	Tortious Interference	1E03A		(46)
1.(2) 3., 5.	Contractual Fraud	6009A	X	Other Contract
1, 2, 5, 8.	Insurance Coverage (not complex)	3109A		Insurance Coverage (18)
2., 5.	Other Promissory Mote/Collections Case	S109A		(60)
2., 5., 6.	Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff	2009A		Collections
1, 2, 5.	Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence)	8S09A		
1,, 2,, 5,	Megligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud)	6109A		(not insurance)
2., 5.	Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence)	8009A		V3enaNV (66)
2., 5.	Internation of Rental/Lease Contract (not Unlawful Detainer or wrongful)	8 2 009A		Breach of Contract/
.01	Labor Commissioner Appeals	6019A		(31)
1,, 2,, 3.	Other Employment Complaint Case	₽ 209 ∀		Ofher Employment
1., 2., 3.	noitenimeT lutgnonW	7E09A		noitanimaT lutgnotW (36)
2., 3.	Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	S209A		Ofµet (35)
1., 2., 3.	Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	0209A		Negligence (25)
1,, 2,, 3.	-egal Malpractice	7109A		Professional Adeque
C See Step 3 Above	B Type of Action (Check only one)			Civil Case Cover

CASE NUMBER

SHORT TITLE: Schroit v. B'nai David-Judea

CASE NUMBER

vil Case Cover Sheet Category No. Writ of Mandate (02) Other Judicial Review (39) Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Onstruction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) ecurities Litigation (28) Toxic Tort Environmental (30)		Type of Action (Check only one) 51 Writ - Administrative Mandamus 52 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter 53 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review 50 Other Writ / Judicial Review 50 Antitrust/Trade Regulation 50 Construction defect 50 Claims Involving Mass Tort	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above 2., 8. 2. 2. 2., 8. 1., 2., 8. 1., 2., 8.
(02) Other Judicial Review (39) Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Onstruction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) Curities Litigation (28)		52 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter 53 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review 50 Other Writ / Judicial Review 50 Antitrust/Trade Regulation 50 Construction defect 50 Claims Involving Mass Tort	2. 2., 8. 1., 2., 8.
(02) Other Judicial Review (39) Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Onstruction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) Curities Litigation (28)		53 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review 50 Other Writ / Judicial Review 603 Antitrust/Trade Regulation 607 Construction defect 606 Claims Involving Mass Tort	2. 2., 8. 1., 2., 8.
Other Judicial Review (39) Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Instruction Defect (10) Itaims Involving Mass Tort (40) Ecurities Litigation (28)		50 Other Writ / Judicial Review 103 Antitrust/Trade Regulation 107 Construction defect 106 Claims Involving Mass Tort	2., 8. 1., 2., 8. 1., 2., 3.
Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Instruction Defect (10) Italiams Involving Mass Tort (40) Ecurities Litigation (28)		Antitrust/Trade Regulation Construction defect Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
Regulation (03) construction Defect (10) claims Involving Mass	A60	007 Construction defect 006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 3.
claims Involving Mass Tort (40) ecurities Litigation (28) Toxic Tort	A60	06 Claims Involving Mass Tort	
Tort (40) ecurities Litigation (28) Toxic Tort		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1., 2., 8.
Toxic Tort	A60		
		35 Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.
	A60	36 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	A60	114 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Enforcement of Judgment (20)	A61	41 Sister State Judgment 60 Abstract of Judgment 07 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations)	2., 9. 2., 6. 2., 9.
()		40 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)	2., 8.
		14 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax12 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2., 8. 2., 8., 9.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
RICO (27)	A60	33 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.
Other Complaints Not Specified Above) (42)	A60	Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., 8. 2., 8. 1., 2., 8. 1., 2., 8.
artnership Corporation Governance (21)	A61	13 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.
	A61	 Workplace Harassment Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case Election Contest Petition for Change of Name Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law 	2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2. 2., 7. 2., 3., 4., 8. 2., 9.
1	(42)	(42)	A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex) thership Corporation Governance (21) A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case A6121 Civil Harassment A6123 Workplace Harassment A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case A6190 Election Contest

(b), (c) and (d)).	sbdus ,0.2 eluЯ la	od LASC Locs	\$ 392 et seq., an	(Code Civ. Proc.,
District of the Los Angeles Superior Court	JAXTV	se in the CE	TOR conupon	CONNIX SUPER
properly filed for assignment to the LOS ANGELES	-entitled matter is p	nat the above	and correct and the	foregoing is true a
ury under the laws of the State of California that the	ider penalty of perj	t: I declare ur	nəmngissA to no	Item IV. Declarati
		1	<u> </u>	
	\$8006	AD.	,	ros yudejes
e e	ZIP CODE:			:YTIO
906 West Pico Boulevard	8 .or□ .e□	.8	927 <u></u>	_123.
YDDKE 22:	PPLIES IN THIS CASE	LUMN C WHICH A	E NUMBER UNDER CO	REASON: CHECK THE
proper reason for filing in the court location you selected.	on Page 1, as the p	m II., Step 3	e indicated in Ite	other circumstand
party's residence or place of business, performance, or				
CASE NUMBER	Лидея	-bivad is	roit v. B'na	вновт этгт вона

PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE: PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO

Thomas V. Reichert

(УТЯАЧ ЭИГЛЕМЕТИВ ОЕ ВИТАИЭІЗ)

Original Complaint or Petition.

Dated: April

- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet form CM-010.
- Complete Addendum to Civil Case Cover Sheet form LACIV 109 (Rev 01/07), LASC Approved 03-04.
- 5. Payment in full of the filling fee, unless fees have been waived.
- under 18 years of age, or if required by Court. 6. Signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, JC form FL-935, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor
- must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case. 7. Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum

