23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

JEFFREY BRYNAN, STATE BAR NO. 70304 PETERSON & BRYNAN 9430 Olympic Blvd., Ste. 400 Beverly Hills, California 90212 Telephone: (310) 552-3035

FILED
LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT

MAR 122008

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Fax: (310) 556-1484

JOHN A. CLARKE, CLERK BY D.M. SWAIN, DEPUTY

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

BC387146
) CASE NO.
Mitch Roberts, (Unlimited Jurisdiction)
)
Plaintiff, COMPLAINT FOR:
) 1. BREACH OF ORAL

Vs.)
Chaim Freeman and DOES 1)
through 200, inclusive,)

Defendants.

- CONTRACT
 2. Breach of Implied
 Covenant of Good Faith
 and Fair Dealing
- 3. FRAUD
- 4. ACCOUNTING
- 5. CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST
- 6. CONVERSION
- 7. MONEY HAD AND RECEIVED
- 8. UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICES

Plaintiff alleges:

- 1. Plaintiff Mitch Roberts, at all times herein mentioned, was and now is an individual and competent adult.
- 2. Plaintiff is informed and believes and upon the information and belief alleges that defendant Chaim times herein mentioned, was and now is an individual, competent and resident of the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- 3. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate or otherwise, of defendants Does 1 through 200 inclusive

are unknown to plaintiff who therefore sues said defendants by such fictitious names, and plaintiff will amend this complaint to assert the true names and capacities of said defendants when the same are ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and upon such information and belief alleges that each of the fictitiously named defendants herein are responsible in some actionable manner for the occurrences alleged herein and that plaintiff's damages as herein alleged were proximately caused by said defendants and each of them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff is informed and believes and on that basis 4. alleges that at all times herein mentioned, the defendants, and each were the agents, servants, employees, assistants consultants of each of their the co-defendants and were, as such, acting within the course and scope of authority of such agency and employment and, each and every said defendant, when acting as a principal, was acting in a negligent and reckless manner and was negligent in the selection, hiring and supervision of each and every other defendant, an agent, servant, employee, assistant as Plaintiff is further informed and believes and on that consultant. basis alleges that at all times herein mentioned, the defendants, and each of them, acted for themselves and as the representatives, agents and employees of each of their co-defendants and in doing the things herein mentioned were acting within the scope of their authority as such representatives, agents and employees and so acted with the permission and consent of their co-defendants; defendants, and each of them, did ratify, approve and consent to the acts and omissions of each of their co-defendants; the defendants, and each of them, did ratify, approve and consent to the acts and omissions of respective employees, agents, officers, directors and

representatives; and, ratified, approved and consented to the acts 1 and omissions of the employees, agents and representatives of their co-defendants; defendants, and each of them, acted on their own 3 behalf and or by and through their officers, directors, agents, 4 representatives and employees and/or by and through their 5 defendants and/or by and through the officers, directors, agents, 6 representatives and employees of their co-defendants; such acts were 7 authorized, ratified, approved and consented to by the defendants, 8 and each of them, and by the officers, directors, shareholders, 9 managing agents and representatives of the defendants, and each of 10 them; and, the conduct of the defendants, and each of them, alleged 11 12 13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

has

been

done

ratification of each defendant, co-defendant and/or the officers, directors, shareholders, representatives and/or managing agents of the respective defendants and/or of their co-defendants. Prior to the initiation of this action, Plaintiff has made repeated demand and request upon the defendants and each of them to mediate and to arbitrate the matters which are the subject of this complaint and such demands and requests have been refused by the defendants and each of them, who have failed to agree to mediate or arbitrate, thus necessitating the filing of this action.

with the

knowledge.

authorization

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION BY PLAINTIFF

(BREACH OF ORAL CONTRACT AGAINST DEFENDANTS CHAIM FREEMAN AND DOES 1 THROUGH 200, INCLUSIVE)

- 6. herein Plaintiff realleges incorporateS and by this reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 5 of this complaint as though fully set forth at length.
 - In or about October 2002 Plaintiff and the Defendants and

3

each of them entered into an oral agreement whereby Plaintiff and Defendants would purchase single family residences that were in distressed condition, renovate and rehabilitate the properties and resell them, with Plaintiff to receive fifty (50) per cent of the profit realized from the resale and Defendant Chaim Freeman to receive fifty (50) per cent of the profit from the resale. The profit to be the excess monies received over and above the purchase price of the given property and the cost(s) of renovation/rehabilitation. Further to this end, Plaintiff was to be responsible for locating property to be purchased, renovated/rehabilitated and resold and Defendant Chaim Freeman was to be responsible for providing the necessary funds to fund the purchase, renovation and rehabilitation of the property(s).

- 8. In or about March 2003 a property was purchased pursuant to the said oral agreement and consistent with the agreement was renovated/rehabilitated and was sold in October 2003 with the profit distributed as described in paragraph 7, above.
- 9. In or about April 2003, a second property was purchased pursuant to the terms of the subject oral agreement. This property is/was located at 1130 Sutton Way, Beverly Hills, CA. (Said property is hereinafter referred to as "the subject property"). The purchase price of the subject property was \$1,775,000. The sale price of the subject property, which sale concluded on March 16, 2006 was \$3,750,000. Total expenses for the renovation/rehabilitation were \$1,395,854.42, resulting in a profit of \$579,145.58. Fifty percent of that amount (the profit) is/was \$289,572.79 and as Plaintiff had received an advance of \$35,001.33 toward his share of the profit, at the time the property was sold and the funds became available on or

about March 17, 2006, he was entitled to receive the sum of \$254,571.46 per the terms of the subject oral contract.

- 10. Plaintiff has fully performed each and every term, covenant and condition of the Contract on his part to be performed except those which were excused, waived or rendered impossible.
- 11. On or about March 17, 2006, the said defendants, and each of them, breached the subject oral Contract by failing to and otherwise refusing to pay to Plaintiff his share of the proceeds as set forth in paragraph 9, supra, and have instead retained such sums for themselves and their own self interests.
- 12. As a proximate result of the actions/inactions/breaches of Defendants as described in paragraphs 11, supra, plaintiff has been damaged in the minimum sum of \$254,571.46 and according to proof at trial. Plaintiff is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that his damages are within the jurisdiction of this Court.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION BY PLAINTIFF

(Breach of Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing Against Defendants Chaim Freeman and Does 1 through 200, inclusive)

- 13. Plaintiff realleges and refers to paragraph 1 through
 12 of this Complaint and said paragraphs are incorporated herein by
 this reference as if set forth in full.
- 14. The subject oral agreement referred to in paragraph 7, supra, contains an implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing which obligates the Defendants and each of them to perform the terms and conditions of the agreement fairly and in good faith and to refrain from doing any act that would prevent or impede Plaintiff from performing any and all of the conditions of the contract that he agreed to perform, or any act that would deprive Plaintiff of the

benefits of the contract referred to in paragraph 7, supra.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

15. At all times relevant hereto, Defendants, and each of them, knew and were aware that Plaintiff had fulfilled all of his duties and conditions under the subject oral contract referred to paragraph 7, supra.

16. The Defendants, and each of them, breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing under the subject agreement by unilaterally attempting to change the terms of the agreement after the conclusion of the sale on March 17, 2006 described in paragraph 9, supra, and otherwise acted to ignore the terms of the agreement, claiming that no monies were due and owing to Plaintiff and that, instead, Defendant(s) was/were entitled to the entirety of the profit realized.

17. As a proximate result of the Defendants' breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing plaintiff has been damaged in the minimum sum of \$254,571.46 and according to proof at Plaintiff is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that his damages are within the jurisdiction of this Court.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION BY PLAINTIFF (FRAUD AGAINST DEFENDANTS CHAIM FREEMAN AND DOES 1 THROUGH 200, INCLUSIVE)

- 18. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein this reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 12 of this complaint as though fully set forth at length.
- During the course of forming the subject oral agreement 19. and during the course of the performance of the same, defendants, and each of them, orally represented by and through Chaim Freeman for himself and on behalf of Does 1 through 200, that the

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

27

28

In truth and fact, the defendants, had no intention of they had promised and intended only performing as Plaintiff for his work product in locating and directing the rehabilitation of property and to retain any and all profit realized for themselves. To this end, over the course of time, Defendants paid to Plaintiff a minimal advance as and against the ultimate profit share he was promised and expected so as to secure his continued of the oral agreement. Further this performance to the Defendants and each of them had knowledge of Plaintiff's personal financial circumstances and, in addition, Defendants Chaim Freeman and Does 1 through 100 were members of the same religious congregation as Plaintiff and in that regard the said defendants knew they held Plaintiff's trust; and, such that Plaintiff was justified in relying on the representations of Defendants and each of them and their promises vis-à-vis the subject oral agreement its performance.

21. Had the plaintiff known the truth of the circumstances herein and the true intentions of Defendants, he would not have entered into the subject agreement. As a direct and proximate result of the deceit and intentional misrepresentation of defendants, and each of them, plaintiff has suffered the loss of \$254,571.46 which is due and owing to him, did forego other business opportunities so as to perform the subject contract, has lost his home and other personal assets as a direct result of not receiving the monies due and owing to him by Defendants and each of them, all to his damage in an amount

22. The aforementioned conduct of the defendants, and each of them, was willful, intentional, duplicitous, oppressive and malicious and done in conscious disregard of the rights of plaintiff. The conduct of defendants was purposely calculated and carried-out to deprive plaintiff of his rights and to induce plaintiff into entering into and completing the subject agreement for the sole advantage of Defendants and to Plaintiff's detriment. The acts of defendants are especially onerous owing to the fact that their misrepresentations have gone to induce plaintiff based on their shared religious affiliation and trust inherent therein and in a fashion that has deprived Plaintiff of his livelihood. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to recover, in addition to actual damages, damages in a sufficient sum to make an example of and to punish the defendants, and each of them and within the jurisdiction of this Court.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION BY PLAINTIFF (ACCOUNTING AGAINST DEFENDANTS CHAIM FREEMAN AND DOES 1 THROUGH 200, INCLUSIVE)

- 23. Cross-complainant repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 8 of this cross-complaint and incorporates same herein as though fully set forth at length.
- 24. As a result of the subject Agreement referred to in paragraph 7 hereof and the fiduciary relationship created thereby the defendants, and each of them, were and remain obligated to fully account for all financial affairs, opportunities, expenditures, receipts, debts, sales, profits, transactions, income, proceeds and losses related to the subject Agreement. Despite this obligation and

 despite plaintiff's demands therefore, the defendants, and each of them, have refused and failed to provide such an accounting. Without an accounting, neither plaintiff nor the Court can ascertain the true state of affairs under the subject Agreement, nor can the full extent of Plaintiff's damages be ascertained.

25. Despite demands for an accounting, the defendants, and each of them have refused and failed and continue to refuse and fail to render an accounting or to allow Plaintiff to conduct one. Accordingly, Plaintiff has been damaged in that it he is unable to ascertain the precise amount that is due and owing to him under the subject Agreement and has been caused to incur costs and fees in initiating and prosecuting the subject litigation so as to resolve this matter and is further entitled to the recovery of such costs and fees.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION BY PLAINTIFF

(FOR CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST AGAINST DEFENDANTS CHAIM FREEMAN AND DOES 1 THROUGH 200, INCLUSIVE)

- 26. Plaintiff repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 12 of this cross-complaint and incorporates same herein as though fully set forth at length.
- 27. As set forth in paragraph 11, supra, the defendants and each of them, have wrongfully taken income, profits, proceeds and property belonging to plaintiff and said defendants have further claimed false and fraudulent expense reimbursement requests, in breach of the subject agreement and by the acts referred to in paragraph 11, supra, and are holding all of the same (in constructive trust) for the benefit of and in favor of Plaintiff. Plaintiff is presently not fully aware of the precise amount of such proceeds,

income and profits and will amend this complaint once such information is fully ascertained and/or according to proof at trial but, is informed and believes that such proceeds, income and profits are in the minimum sum of \$254,571.46.

- 28. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law and the imposition of a constructive trust is required to avoid the perpetration of a fraud upon him and further, to avoid the unjust enrichment of defendants.
- 29. The acts referred to in paragraph 11, supra, were carried out by the defendants, and each of them, with fraudulent and deceitful intent and with the conscious purpose of depriving plaintiff of his proceeds, income and profits and for the selfish gain of defendants, and each of them. Accordingly, Plaintiff contends that he is entitled, in addition to actual damages, punitive damages in a sum sufficient to make an example of defendants, and each of them, and in an amount according to proof at trial, within the jurisdiction of this Court, as the acts of the cross-defendants, and each of them, were willful, wanton, malicious and oppressive.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION BY PLAINTIFF (FOR CONVERSION AGAINST DEFENDANTS CHAIM FREEMAN AND DOES 1 THROUGH 200, INCLUSIVE)

- 30. Plaintiff repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 12 of this complaint and incorporates same herein as though fully set forth at length.
- 31. As set forth in paragraphs 11 and 12, *supra*, the defendants and each of them have refused to pay to Plaintiff income, profits and proceeds in the specific sum of \$254,571.46, belonging to plaintiff; and, have converted the same to their own use.

32. Plaintiff has previously demanded the return of the abovementioned precise sum but the said defendants have refused and failed to so comply. As a result thereof, plaintiff has lost the above described specific sum and the use thereof.

33. As the acts of the defendants, and each of them, were done with willful, malicious and oppressive intent and done purposely to harm Plaintiff, Plaintiff alleges that he is entitled, in addition to actual damages, punitive damages in a sum sufficient to make an example of the defendants, and each of them, within the jurisdiction of this Court.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION BY PLAINTIFF

(For Money Had and Received against DEFENDANTS CHAIM FREEMAN AND DOES 1 THROUGH 200, INCLUSIVE)

- 34. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 5, of this complaint and incorporates, by this reference, the same herein as though fully set forth at length.
- 35. Within the two years preceding the commencement of this action, defendants and each of them, became indebted to plaintiff in the sum of \$254,571.46 for money had and received by defendants, and each of them, for the use and benefit of plaintiff. In spite of demand therefore, no part of said sum has been paid and the said sum is due and owing from defendants to plaintiff.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION BY PLAINTIFF

(Unfair Business Practices Against DEFENDANTS CHAIM FREEMAN AND DOES 1 THROUGH 200, INCLUSIVE)

36. Plaintiff incorporates herein the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 12 and 19 through 22 of this complaint as though fully set forth herein.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

paragraph 7, supra, Plaintiff 37. As described in and defendants, and each of them conducted a transaction under the terms of the subject agreement and prior to the transaction involving the subject property, all designed by defendants to gain the trust of plaintiff so as to move forward with subsequent and significantly such subject property. profitable transactions as the Defendants engaged in this systematic course of conduct for the wrongful purpose and effect of inducing plaintiff to provide services which Defendants could utilize for their own selfish gain and profit and to the exclusion of remuneration to Plaintiff and to that end and in furtherance of the wrongful course of conduct and their plan and scheme and with the intent described above, defendants have engaged in the acts of fraud as alleged in paragraphs 19 and 20 of this complaint.

38. By reason of the foregoing, defendants, and each of them, have engaged in acts of unfair business practices within the meaning and definition of *California Business and Professions Code §17200 and §17500* and as otherwise provided by law.

- 39. As a legal and proximate result of defendants misconduct, as alleged above, defendants have been unjustly enriched at the expense of plaintiff in the sum of \$254,571.46 and plaintiff has lost and otherwise had other prospective business relationships interfered with due to this loss of funds, resulting in further damages according to proof at trial.
- 40. The acts of defendants and each of them constitute a purposeful plan, program and design of unfair business practices and unfair competition and unfair and fraudulent business practices in violation of law including, but not limited to *California Business*

and Professions Code \$17200 and \$17500 in that the acts of the defendants, and each of them, are in violation of any and all acceptable standards of business ethics. Pursuant to the said statutory provisions, plaintiff is entitled to a trebling of all special and general damages assessed as well as the imposition of reasonable costs and attorneys' fees and in this regard, plaintiff has retained the Law Offices of Peterson & Brynan to prosecute the instant action and has and will continue to incur such costs and fees.

41. Plaintiff is further informed and believes and on that basis alleges that the acts of defendants, and each of them, were done intentionally and with a purpose to harm plaintiff and to otherwise benefit the defendants and each of them at the expense of plaintiff. Accordingly, plaintiff contends that he is entitled to receive punitive damages in addition to all special and general damages, attorneys' fees, trebling of damages and any and all other relief authorized by law and in a sum within the jurisdiction of this Court.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays as follows:

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 1. For general and special damages in the minimum amount of \$254,571.46 and according to proof at trial;
- For interest at the legal rate per annum from March 17,
 2006;
 - For costs of suit incurred herein; and
- 4. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

1. For general and special damages in the minimum amount of

\$254,571.46; 1 For interest at the legal rate per annum from March 17, 2 2006: 3 For costs of suit incurred herein; and 3. 4. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper. 6 THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION 7 For general and special damages in the minimum amount of 8 \$254,571.46; 9 2. For interest at the legal rate per annum from March 17, 10 2006; 11 For punitive damages sufficient to punish defendants and 12 each of them in an amount within the jurisdiction of this Court; 13 4. For costs of suit incurred herein; and 14 For such other and further relief as the Court may deem 15 just and proper. 16 FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION 17 For an order requiring Defendants to provide an 18 accounting of all transactions held by and between them as 19 referenced in the complaint herein; 20 For all sums found to be due and owing to Plaintiff per 21 such accounting, together with interest thereon at the legal rate 22 per annum from March 17, 2006; 23 3. For attorney's fees according to proof at trial; 24 4. For costs of suit incurred herein; and 25 26 For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper. 27 28

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION 1 For an order imposing a constructive trust on all sums 1. 2 held by Defendant which are due and owing to Plaintiff, along 3 with an order requiring such sums to be turned over and 4 transferred to Plaintiff in the minimum amount of \$254,571.46; 5 For interest at the legal rate per annum from March 17, 2. 6 2006: 7 For punitive damages sufficient to punish defendants and 3. 8 each of them in an amount within the jurisdiction of this Court; 9 For attorney's fees and cost of suit incurred herein; and 10 5. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem 11 just and proper. 12 SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION 13 1. For general and special damages in the amount of 14 \$254,571.46; 15 2. For interest at the legal rate per annum from March 17, 16 2006: 17 For punitive damages sufficient to punish defendants and 18 each of them in an amount within the jurisdiction of this Court; 19 For attorney's fees and cost of suit incurred herein; and 20 For such other and further relief as the Court may deem 21 just and proper. 22 SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION 23 For general and special damages in the amount of 24 1. \$254,571.46; 25

For interest at the legal rate per annum from March 17,

For attorney's fees and cost of suit incurred herein; and

2.

3.

2006;

27

28

2	
3	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
T.44	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
20	
28	

4. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

- 1. For general damages according to proof at trial and within the jurisdiction of this Court,
- 2. For special damages according to proof at trial and within the jurisdiction of this Court;
- 3. For attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein;
- 4. For punitive damages in a sum sufficient to punish the defendants, and each of them and within the jurisdiction of this court;
 - 5. For a trebling of all damages incurred herein;
 - 6. For any and all recovery as permitted by California Business and Professions Code \$17200 and \$17500; and
- 7. For such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

PETERSON & BRYNAN

JEFFREY BRYNAN

Attorneys for Plaintiff

		CM-010
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bard Jeffrey Brynan SBN 70304 Peterson & Brynan		FOR COURT USE ONLY
9430 Olympic Blvd. Suite 400, Beverly	Hills, CA 90212	
TELEPHONE NO.: (310) 552-3035 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff Mitch Roberts	FAX NO.: (310) 556-1484	FILED COURT
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS STREET ADDRESS: 111 N. Hill Street	s Angeles L	OS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT
MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles 90012 BRANCH NAME: Central District		MAR 1 2 2008
CASE NAME: Roberts vs. Freeman		JOHN A CLARKE, CLERK
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	BYD.M. SWAIN, DEPUTY
☑ Unlimited ☐ Limited	Counter Joinder	BY D.M. SWAIN, DEPUTY
(Amount (Amount demanded is		1 11005: 50707116
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	Filed with first appearance by defen (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	DEPT:
	ow must be completed (see instructions	
1. Check one box below for the case type that		
Auto Tort Auto (22)	Contract Breach of contract/warranty (06)	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical malpractice (45) Other PI/PD/WD (23)	Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14)	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)
Business tort/unfair business practice (07		Enforcement of Judgment
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	Miscellaneous Civil Petition
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Employment	Asset forfeiture (05) Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
	plex under rule 3.400 of the California R	tules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
a. Large number of separately repre	esented parties 💎 d. 🔙 Large numb	er of witnesses
b. Extensive motion practice raising	difficult or novel e Coordination	with related actions pending in one or more courts
issues that will be time-consumin c. Substantial amount of documenta		nties, states, or countries, or in a federal court postjudgment judicial supervision
 Remedies sought (check all that apply): a Number of causes of action (specify): 8 	n. monetary b. nonmonetary;	declaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive
5. This case is is is is not a cla	iss action suit	
6. If there are any known related cases, file		may use form CM-015.)
Date: March 11, 2008	$\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1$	
Peterson and Brynan by Jeffrey	Brynan	The
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
under the Probate Code, Family Code, or	first paper filed in the action or proceedi Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Ru	ing (except small claims cases or cases filed les of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
in sanctions. • Fife this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet in addition to a sheet addition to	ver sheet required by local court rule. t seq. of the California Rules of Court, yo	ou must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
other parties to the action or proceeding.		neet will be used for statistical purposes only.
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use	And the second s	Page 1 of 2 Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3,220, 3,400–3,403, 3,740:
Judicial Council of California CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]	CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Cal. Standards of Judicial Administration, std. 3.10 www.courtinfo.ca.gov

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER	
Roberts vs. Freeman		BC387146

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

(CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNM	IENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)
This form is required pursuant to LASC Local Rule 2.0 in all new c	ivil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.
tem I. Check the types of hearing and fill in the estimated length of hear	ring expected for this case:
JURY TRIAL? YES CLASS ACTION? YES LIMITED CASE? YES Item II. Select the correct district and courthouse location (4 steps – If yo Step 1: After first completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet Form, find the the left margin below, and, to the right in Column A, the Civil Case Cove Step 2: Check one Superior Court type of action in Column B below w Step 3: In Column C, circle the reason for the court location choice that For any exception to the court location, see Los Angeles Superior Court	ou checked "Limited Case", skip to Item III, Pg. 4): e main civil case cover sheet heading for your case in or Sheet case type you selected. Which best describes the nature of this case. at applies to the type of action you have checked.
Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Lo	cation (see Column C below)
 Class Actions must be filed in the County Courthouse, Central District. May be filed in Central (Other county, or no Bodily Injury/Property Damage). Location where cause of action arose. Location where bodily injury, death or damage occurred. Location where performance required or defendant resides. 	6. Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle. 7. Location where petitioner resides. 8. Location wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly. 9. Location where one or more of the parties reside. 10. Location of Labor Commissioner Office.

Step 4: Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration.

A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons See Step 3 Above
Auto (22)	☐ A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.
Uninsured Motorist (46)	A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death - Uninsured Motorist	1., 2., 4.
Asbestos (04)	☐ A6070 Asbestos Property Damage ☐ A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2. 2.
Product Liability (24)	A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3., 4., 8.
Medical Malpractice (45)	☐ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons ☐ A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4.
Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	 □ A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) □ A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.) □ A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress □ A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death 	1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 4.
Business Tort (07)	☐ A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1., 2., 3.
Civil Rights (08)	☐ A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1., 2., 3.
Defamation (13)	☐ A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)	1., 2., 3.
Fraud (16)	☐ A6013 Fraud (no contract)	1., 2., 3.

Auto Tort

Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Tort

Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage/	Wrongful Death Tort (Cont'd.)
1	Employment
	Contract
	Real Property
	Judicial Review Unlawful Detainer

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER
Roberts vs. Freeman	

A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons -See Step 3 Above
Professional Negligence (25)	☐ A6017 Legal Malpractice ☐ A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 3.
Other (35)	A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	2.,3.
Wrongful Termination (36)	☐ A6037 Wrongful Termination	1., 2., 3.
Other Employment (15)	☐ A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case ☐ A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	1., 2., 3. 10.
Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06) (not insurance)	 □ A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not Unlawful Detainer or wrongful eviction) □ A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence) □ A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud) □ A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence) 	2., 5. 2., 5. 1., 2., 5. 1., 2., 5.
Collections (09)	☐ A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff ☐ A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case	2., 5., 6. 2., 5.
Insurance Coverage (18)	☐ A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Other Contract (37)	 ✓ A6009 Contractual Fraud ☐ A6031 Tortious Interference ☐ A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence) 	1., 2., 3.(5) 1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 8.
Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2.
Wrongful Eviction (33)	☐ A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2., 6.
Other Real Property (26)	☐ A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure ☐ A6032 Quiet Title ☐ A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure)	2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer- Commercial (31)	☐ A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer- Residential (32)	☐ A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer- Drugs (38)	☐ A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2., 6.
Asset Forfeiture (05)	☐ A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case ☐ A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2., 6.
(11)	- The same of the	2., 5.

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER
Roberts vs. Freeman	
	<u> </u>

Cont'd.)	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Judicial Review (Cont'd.)	Writ of Mandate	☐ A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus ☐ A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter	2., 8.
udicial R	(02) Other Judicial Review	☐ A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	2., 8.
ין	(39) Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	☐ A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.
olex	Construction Defect (10)	☐ A6007 Construction defect	1., 2., 3.
Provisionally Complex Litigation	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	☐ A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
ionally Co Litigation	Securities Litigation (28)	☐ A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.
rovisi	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	☐ A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
<u>a.</u>	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Enforcement of Judgment	Enforcement of Judgment (20)	 □ A6141 Sister State Judgment □ A6160 Abstract of Judgment □ A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations) □ A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) □ A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax □ A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case 	2., 9. 2., 6. 2., 9. 2., 8. 2., 8. 2., 8., 9.
.zi	RICO (27)	☐ A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.
Miscellaneous Civil Complaints	Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42)	 ☐ A6030 Declaratory Relief Only ☐ A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment) ☐ A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) ☐ A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex) 	1., 2., 8. 2., 8. 1., 2., 8. 1., 2., 8.
	Partnership Corporation Governance(21)	☐ A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.
cellaneous Civil Petitions	Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)	☐ A6121 Civil Harassment ☐ A6123 Workplace Harassment ☐ A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case ☐ A6190 Election Contest ☐ A6110 Petition for Change of Name ☐ A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law ☐ A6100 Other Civil Petition	2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2. 2., 7. 2., 3., 4., 8. 2., 9.

Provisionally Complex Litigation

Miscellaneous Civil Petitions

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER
Roberts vs. Freeman	Ì
<u></u>	<u> </u>

Item III. Statement of Location: Enter the address of the accident, party's residence or place of business, performance, or other circumstance indicated in Item II., Step 3 on Page 1, as the proper reason for filing in the court location you selected.

REASON: CHECK THE NUMBER UNDER COLUMN C WHICH APPLIES IN THIS CASE			ADDRESS: 117 S. Fuller Avenue
□1, □2, □3, □4, Ø5, □6, □7, □8, □9, □10,		. □9. □10.	
CITY: Los Angeles	STATE: CA	ZIP CODE: 90036	

Item IV. Declaration of Assignment: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that the above-entitled matter is properly filed for assignment to the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that the above-entitled matter is properly filed for assignment to the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that the above-entitled matter is properly filed for assignment to the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that the above-entitled matter is properly filed for assignment to the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that the above-entitled matter is properly filed for assignment to the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that the above-entitled matter is properly filed for assignment to the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that the above-entitled matter is properly filed for assignment to the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that the above-entitled matter is properly filed for assignment to the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that the above-entitled matter is properly filed for assignment to the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that the above-entitled matter is properly filed for assignment to the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that the above-entitled matter is properly filed for assignment to the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that the above-entitled matter is properly filed for assignment to the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that the above-entitled matter is properly filed for assignment to the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and corr

Dated: March 11, 2008

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet form CM-010.
- 4. Complete Addendum to Civil Case Cover Sheet form LACIV 109 (Rev. 01/07), LASC Approved 03-04.
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
- 6. Signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, JC form FL-935, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age, or if required by Court.
- 7. Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.