1 2 3 4 5 6	G. SCOTT SOBEL, Esq., SBN 124818 LAW OFFICE OF G. SCOTT SOBEL 8250 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 200 Beverly Hills, CA 90211 Telephone: (310) 422-7067 Facsimile: (323) 556-0858 GScottSobel@gmail.com Attorney for Plaintiff Rabbi Samuel Ohana	ORIGINAL FILEI Northwest District JUN 07 2011 LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT	
7	CURERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
8			
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF LO	OS ANGELES – NORTHWEST	
10 11	RABBI SAMUEL OHANA,	Case No:	
12	Plaintiff,	VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR REPLEVIN	
13	VS.	AND DAMAGES BASED UPON: 1) TRESPASS TO PROPERTY;	
14	VALLEY MISHKAN ISRAEL	2) TRESPASS TO CHATTELS; 3) CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT	
15	CONGREGATION, a California Corporation; DOE REPOSSESSION	TRESPASS TO PROPERTY; 4) CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT	
16	ENTITIES 1 – 4; DOE REPOSSESSION AGENTS AND/OR EMPLOYEES 5 – 9;	TRESPASS TO CHATTELS; 5) CONVERSION;	
17	and DOES 10 – 50,	6) NEGLIGENCE	
18	Defendants	(Application for Temporary Restraining Order	
19		Filed Separately Herewith)	
20			
21	Plaintiff alleges as follows:		
22	GENERAL ALLEGATIONS		
23	1. The events complained of herein occu	arred in the city of Sherman Oaks, County of Los	
24	Angeles, State of California.		
25	2. Plaintiff RABBI SAMUEL OHANA is an adult, residing in North Hollywood, County		
26 27	of Los Angeles, California, and the Rabbi of the Synagogue known as Beth Midrash Mishkan		
28	Israel American Institute For Judaic Studies ("	Beth Midrash'').	

- 3. At all times complained of herein, Defendant VALLEY MISHKAN ISRAEL CONGREGATION ("Valley Mishkan") is a California Corporation, with its principal place of business at 6254 Beeman Avenue, North Hollywood, CA 90024, and whose Agent for Service of Process is Rita Pauker.
- 4. At all times complained of herein, Defendant DOE REPOSSESSION ENTITIES 1 4, business forms unknown, were and are businesses located in California, Arizona, and or elsewhere in the United States.
- 5. At all times complained of herein, Defendant DOE REPOSSESSION

  AGENTS/EMPLOYEES 5 9, were and are individual agents, employees and/or contractors of Defendant DOE REPOSSESSION ENTITIES 1 4 or of other named or unnamed defendants herein. Plaintiff is currently without sufficient knowledge of the names and capacities of each such individual agent, employee and/or contractor, and therefore alleges each by fictitious name and alternative capacity upon information and belief that each said fictitiously-named defendant is responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged, and that the injuries suffered by Plaintiff were proximately caused by their acts and/or omissions. Plaintiff will seek leave of court to amend this complaint to reflect said names when the same have been ascertained.
- 6. Upon information and belief, any and all entity defendant(s) herein, whether currently known or not known, is (are) the alter ego(s) of unknown individual DOE defendant(s) herein.
- 7. Plaintiff is currently without sufficient knowledge of the names and capacities of each of DOES 10 50, and therefore alleges each by fictitious name and alternative capacity upon information and belief that each said fictitiously-named defendant is responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged, and that the injuries suffered by Plaintiff were proximately caused by their acts and/or omissions. Plaintiff will seek leave of court to amend this complaint to reflect said names when the same have been ascertained.
  - 8. Whenever in this Complaint reference is made to any act of a defendant, whether named

28

or unnamed, such allegation shall be deemed to mean that said defendant is and was acting with the knowledge and consent of his/her respective co-defendants as a principal and under express of implied agency, and/or with actual or ostensible authority to perform the acts alleged.

- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that defendants and each of them were the agents, servants, associates, and/or employees of, and/or were the partners, and/or joint ventures with their co-defendants, and each of them, and in doing the things herein alleged, were acting within the courses and scope of their authority as such agents, servants, associates, and/or employees of, and/or as such joint ventures, and/or partners with, their co-defendants, and each of them.
- 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that each of the natural defendants was acting at all times within the course and scope of his or her employment and/or official duties and/or agency and/or contract, and that each entity-defendant is therefore liable for the acts and/or omissions of its respective natural defendants under the doctrine of Respondeat Superior.
- 11. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges, that the acts and/or omissions of each of unknown natural DOE defendants was and were authorized, and/or ratified by the officers, directors, and/or managing agents of unknown DOE entity-defendants which may be named in this action, and that each of the acts and/or omissions of the entity-defendants, was and were authorized, and/or ratified by the officers, directors, and/or managing agents of other entitydefendants, and that each entity-defendant, ratified, and/or condoned, authorized, approved, and/or failed to repudiate, all of the wrongful conduct alleged herein.

## **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

12. Since 2002, there has been an ongoing dispute concerning the ownership of four scrolls of the Torah, the Five Books of Moses which were in the possession of Plaintiff until on or about May 25, 2011. Torah scrolls are the holiest objects in Judaism, each handwritten by an expert

scribe ("Sofer") on parchment skins, all in the ancient Jewish tradition. Each of the four Torah scrolls is distinct, with individual handwriting, lettering style, size of parchment, age, and condition. Filed together with Plaintiff's Application for Temporary Restraining Order is the Declaration of Rabbi David Rue, an expert Sofer (scribe), attached to which (as Exhibit 1) is a copy of Rabbi Rue's expert evaluation report on the four Torah scrolls, detailing for each its identifying markers, age, size, style, condition and value. As indicated, the newest scroll is 49 years and the oldest approximately 100 years, with appraised values of \$3,000, \$4,000, \$10,000, and \$12,000, varying by age and condition as of the appraisal date: December 15, 2009.

- 13. Since May 1997 the four disputed Torah scrolls have been safely housed exclusively and without interruption in Plaintiff's synagogue, Beth Midrash Mishkan Israel American ISBURBANK BLUP, SHERMAN OAKS, Institute For Judaic Studies, located at 12555 Huston, North Hollywood, California.
- 14. On or about May 25, 2011, after the daily morning prayer service, Rabbi Ohana closed the Synagogue, turned out the lights, and locked the door, all in his usual fashion. That morning, the Rabbi had a dental appointment. Rabbi Ohana has no assistant in the Synagogue. No other person regularly enters the Synagogue in his absence.
- 15. Upon returning to the Synagogue shortly after noon that date, Rabbi Ohana found the front door unlocked, ajar, and the lights on. Upon entering the open Synagogue, the Rabbi discovered that four Torah scrolls had been stolen from the cabinets housing the Torah scrolls.
- 16. Upon close examination, Rabbi Ohana discovered that two of the stolen Torah scrolls were from the group of four disputed scrolls, while the other two stolen Torah scrolls were from the Rabbi's personal collection of four scrolls, purchased and owned exclusively by Rabbi Ohana.
- 17. The age of Rabbi Ohana's two stolen Torah scrolls is approximately 40 years each. The condition of each of the two stolen Torah scrolls is excellent. Rabbi Ohana estimates the value of his two stolen Torah scrolls at approximately \$20,000 each.

- 18. Rabbi Ohana immediately reported the burglary and theft to the Los Angeles Police Department, which took a report and began an investigation.
- 19. Later that afternoon (May 25, 2011), Rabbi Ohana telephoned Stuart Zimmermann, a close associate of Rita Pauker. Upon asking Zimmermann about the four Torah scrolls and identifying two as belonging personally to Rabbi Ohana, Zimmermann responded with words to the effect of: "If you want your Torah scrolls back, have your attorney call Rita's attorney and dismiss the appeal."
- 20. LAPD Detective Yip has confirmed to Plaintiff's counsel, Attorney Steven R. Friedman, that repossession agents from Arizona were in possession of the four Torah scrolls. To date, LAPD detective Yip has declined to take action to recover the scrolls or to refer the case for prosecution.

## URGENCY TO RETURN STOLEN PROPERTY TO PLAINTIFF

21. Rita Pauker has told Rabbi Ohana that the four disputed Torah scrolls constitute her "pension." Rita Pauker has told Rabbi Ohana that she needs the income from the Torah scrolls to survive. Rita Pauker has told Rabbi Ohana that she intends to send one or more of the Torah scrolls to persons in Florida.

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION TRESPASS TO PROPERTY

(As against each and every Defendant named herein)

- 22. Plaintiff incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 –21 inclusive as though fully set forth herein.
- 23. Defendants, and each of them, intentionally entered upon the property of Plaintiff as described hereinabove with the unlawful intent to take property in the rightful possession of Plaintiff, and/or belonging to Plaintiff.

VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR REPLEVIN AND DAMAGES

## **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION** 1 2 CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT TRESPASS TO CHATTELS 3 (As against each and every natural Defendant named herein) 4 32. Plaintiff incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1-31 inclusive as though fully set forth 5 herein. 6 33. Defendants, and each of them, agreed to commit trespass against Plaintiff's Torah 7 scrolls in order to unlawfully take Plaintiff's Torah scrolls, as described hereinabove and/or 8 34. Defendants, and each of them, agreed to commit trespass against Torah scrolls in the 9 10 rightful possession of Plaintiff and/or Torah scrolls belonging to Plaintiff from Plaintiff's 11 property by unlawful means as described hereinabove. 12 35. Plaintiff thereby suffered and continues to suffer damages in an amount to be proven at 13 the time of trial. 14 FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION 15 **CONVERSION** 16 33. Plaintiff incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1-32 inclusive as though fully set forth 17 18 herein. 19 34. Defendants, and each of them, without any lawful claim, right, or authorization, took 20 two Torah scrolls owned by Plaintiff from Plaintiff's possession. 21 35. Plaintiff has demanded return of Plaintiff's two Torah scrolls. 22 36. Defendants have refused to return Plaintiff's two Torah scrolls. 23 37. Plaintiff thereby suffered and continues to suffer damages in an amount to be proven at 24 the time of trial. 25 26 111 27 111

28

1	SIATH CAUSE OF ACTION	
2	<u>NEGLIGENCE</u>	
3	(As against each and every Defendant named herein)	
4	38. Plaintiff incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 – 37 inclusive as though fully set	
5	forth herein.	
6 7	39. While engaging in "self help" to repossess disputed Torah scrolls, Defendants owed a	
8	duty to Plaintiff to properly identify those Torah scrolls which were subject to the dispute, and	
9	not to take Torah scrolls belonging to Plaintiff and not subject to the dispute.	
10	40. Defendants, and each of them, negligently committed trespass against Plaintiff's Torah	
11	scrolls, as described hereinabove.	
12	41. Plaintiff thereby suffered and continues to suffer damages in an amount to be proven at	
13		
14	the time of trial.	
15	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
16	WHEREFORE, based upon the foregoing, Plaintiff prays as follows:	
17	FOR THE FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR TRESPASS TO PROPERTY:	
18	1. For Plaintiff's general pain and suffering damages according to proof at trial;	
19	2. For special damages according to proof at trial;	
20	3. For Punitive Damages to punish defendants and deter future wrongful conduct according	
21	to the findings of the jury or the court sitting without a jury;	
22 23	4. For Plaintiff's costs of suit herein;	
24	5. For such other relief the court finds just and proper.	
25	FOR THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR TRESPASS TO CHATTELS:	
26	6. Replevin;	
27	7. Plaintiff's general pain and suffering damages according to proof at trial;	
28	8. Special damages according to proof at trial;	
	8	

1	9. Punitive Damages to punish defendants and deter future wrongful conduct according to	
2	the findings of the jury or the court sitting without a jury;	
3	10. Plaintiff's costs of suit herein;	
4	11. such other relief the court finds just and proper.	
<ul><li>5</li><li>6</li></ul>	FOR THE THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION FOR CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT TRESPASS TO PROPERTY:	
7	12. Plaintiff's general pain and suffering damages according to proof at trial;	
9	13. Special damages according to proof at trial;	
10	14. Punitive Damages to punish defendants and deter future wrongful conduct according to	
11	the findings of the jury or the court sitting without a jury;	
12	15. Plaintiff's costs of suit herein;	
13	16. Such other relief the court finds just and proper.	
14 15	FOR THE FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT TRESPASS TO CHATTELS:	
16	17. Plaintiff's general pain and suffering damages according to proof at trial;	
17	18. Special damages according to proof at trial;	
18	19. Punitive Damages to punish defendants and deter future wrongful conduct according to	
19	the findings of the jury or the court sitting without a jury;	
20	20. Plaintiff's costs of suit herein;	
21	21. Such other relief the court finds just and proper.	
22	FOR THE FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR CONVERSION:	
23	22. Replevin;	
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	23. Plaintiff's general pain and suffering damages according to proof at trial;	
26	24. Special damages according to proof at trial;	
27	25. Punitive Damages to punish defendants and deter future wrongful conduct according to	
28	the findings of the jury or the court sitting without a jury;	

1	26. Plaintiff's costs of suit herein;		
2	FOR THE SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENCE:		
3	27. Replevin;		
4	28. Plaintiff's general pain and suffering damages according to proof at trial;		
5	29. Special damages according to proof at trial;		
7	30. Plaintiff's costs of suit herein;		
8	31. Such other relief the court finds just and proper.		
9	21000		
10	DATED: June 6, 2011  G. Scott Sobel		
11 12	Attorney for Rabbi Samuel Ohana		
13			
14	VERIFICATION		
15	I have read the foregoing and know its contents. I am a party to this action. The matters stated in the foregoing document are true of my own knowledge except as to those matters which are stated on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.		
16			
17 18	I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.		
19	Executed on June 7, 2011, at North Hollywood, California.		
20			
21	Rabbi Samuel Ohana		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			