FILED LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT

1	TROY & GOULD	AUG 1:6 2006
2	Professional Corporation Jeffrey W. Kramer (SBN 71547)	John A. Ciano,
3	Sharon R. Gold (SBN 161823) 1801 Century Park East, 16th Floor	S Gabb
4	Los Angeles, California 90067-2367 Telephone: (310) 553-4441 Facsimile: (310) 201-4746	
5	Email: jwk@troygould.com	· CEENS
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff Dennis Prager Case	assigned A. FERNS Idge EDWARD EDWARD
7	o Ju	EDWAPP.
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	FOR THE COUNT	Y OF LOS ANGELES $\mathcal{D} - \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{I}}$
10		y - U 1
11	DENNIS PRAGER, an individual,) Case No. BC357131
12	Plaintiff,)) COMPLAINT FOR
13	v.)) (1) INVOLUNTARY DISSOLUTION OF
14	THE PRAGER PERSPECTIVE, LLC, a California limited liability company; SCOTT) LÍMITED LIABILITY COMPANY;
15	WEBLEY, an individual, and Does 1 through 10, inclusive,) (2) AN ACCOUNTING;
16	Defendants.) (3) CONVERSION;
17		_) (4) CYBER PIRACY IN VIOLATION OF B&P CODE § 17525;
18 19		(5) INTERFERENCE WITH PROSPECTIVE BUSINESS
20		ADVANTAGE; AND
21		(6) BREACHES OF FIDUCIARY DUTY
22		
23		CIT/CASE RECEIPT RECEIPT RECEIVENTE RECEIVEN
24		CII/CASE: RC RECEIPT #: DATE PAID: 1 PAYMENT: \$37 RECEIVED: CHECK CHANG: CHANG: CARD:
24 25		E: EC35712 H: CCH4 IN: CR714 T: \$320.88 CHECK: CHANGE: CHANGE:
26 27		CB F-1
27		1.EA/165 8224042 76 93:0
28		VIEF#: 1042 93:07:56 FM 0310

TROY & GOULD PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

1	Plaintiff Dennis Prager alleges:		
2		FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION	
3	(For Dissol	lution of Limited Liability Company Pursuant to Corporations Code § 17351	
4		Against All Defendants)	
5	1.	Plaintiff is an individual and is one of two members of The Prager Perspective,	
6	LLC.		
7	2.	Defendant The Prager Perspective, LLC ("TPP") is a limited liability company	
8	organized and	existing under the laws of the State of California.	
9	3.	Defendant Scott Webley ("Webley") is an individual and is the other of the two	
10	members of T	PP.	
11	4.	Defendants Does 1 through 10 are sued herein by these fictitious names because	
12	their true nam	es are unknown to plaintiff. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this complaint to	
13	allege the true	names when the same are ascertained. Plaintiff is informed, believes and thereon	
14	alleges that th	ese fictitiously-named defendants are responsible as agents, principals, alter egos,	
15	co-conspirato	rs or in some other manner for the matters alleged herein.	
16	5.	Dissolution of TPP is reasonably necessary for the protection of the rights and	
17	interests of pl	aintiff.	
18	6.	The management of TPP is deadlocked and subject to internal dissension.	
19	7.	Defendant Webley, who has been managing TPP, has been guilty of persistent	
20	mismanagem	ent and abuse of authority.	
21	8.	For the reasons alleged herein, plaintiff is entitled to an order dissolving TPP and	
22	winding up it	s affairs.	
23	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION		
\$24 \$25 \$26 \$27	 	(For An Accounting Against All Defendants)	
£25	9.	Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 8 of this	
26	complaint.		
27	10.	As a member of TPP, plaintiff is entitled to an accounting of the company's	
28	business and	in particular to an accounting of all revenues and expenses of the company from its	

1	formation to the date of the accounting. Plaintiff is also entitled to an order that defendants hold
2	all assets of the company as constructive trustees for plaintiff's benefit to the extent of plaintiff's
3	ownership interest in TPP.
4	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
5	(For Conversion Against All Defendants)
6	11. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 8 of this
7	complaint.
8	12. At all times relevant hereto, plaintiff has been and is the true owner of the domain
9	name "dennisprager.com" and is entitled to the exclusive use and possession of that domain
10	name.
11	13. Plaintiff agreed to allow TPP to use the "dennisprager.com" domain name in its
12	business and, pursuant to that agreement, allowed TPP to register itself as the owner of that
13	domain name. As part of this agreement, plaintiff and defendants agreed that plaintiff was and
14	would remain the true owner of the domain name and would be entitled to the return of the
15	domain name upon demand.
16	14. In or around April 2006, plaintiff made demand on defendants to cease TPP's use
17	of the domain name and to return the domain name to plaintiff. Since that date, despite
18	plaintiff's demand, defendants have refused to return the "dennisprager.com" domain name to
19	plaintiff and have continued to use the domain name for their own benefit.
20	15. As the true owner of the "dennisprager.com" domain name who is entitled to its
21	exclusive possession and use, plaintiff is entitled to an order compelling defendants to deliver the
22	domain name to plaintiff and to execute all documents necessary to restore the domain name to
23	plaintiff.
24 25	16. As a proximate result of defendants' wrongful possession and retention of the
	"dennisprager.com" domain name, plaintiff has suffered damages and continues to suffer
26 27	damages, in an amount to be proven at trial, but which plaintiff is informed, believes and thereon
27	alleges exceeds the limited civil jurisdiction of this court.

1	١
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	ļ
9	l
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
^223 ○	
2 24	
2 25	
\$26 \$27	

17. Defendants' refusal to return the "dennisprager.com" domain name to plaintiff was willful and malicious, done in conscious derogation of plaintiff's rights, and done with the specific intention to damage plaintiff. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to an award of punitive damages against defendants, and each of them, in amounts sufficient to punish defendants and make an example of them.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(For Cyber Piracy In Violation Of Business & Professions Code § 17525 Against All Defendants)

- 18. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 8 and 12 through 14 of this complaint.
- 19. Plaintiff is informed, believes and thereon alleges that defendants, in addition to registering TPP as the owner of the domain name "dennisprager.com," also registered TPP as the owner of the domain name "dennisprager.net."
- 20. Plaintiff is informed, believes and thereon alleges that defendants, in registering TPP as the owner of the domain name "dennisprager.net," thereby using plaintiff's name as the domain name, did so in bad faith, without plaintiff's permission, for the purpose of profiting from the use of plaintiff's name and trafficking in that domain name by forcing plaintiff to compensate defendants to stop defendants from using that domain name and to induce defendants to transfer the domain to plaintiff.
- 21. In refusing to return the "dennisprager.com" domain to plaintiff upon his demand and converting that domain name for their own use and benefit, as more fully alleged above, defendants acted in bad faith with the intent to use and traffic in the "dennisprager.com" domain name by forcing plaintiff to compensate defendants to stop defendants from using that domain name and to induce defendants to transfer the domain to plaintiff.
- 22. As a direct and proximate result of defendants' cyber piracy of the "dennisprager.com" and "dennisprager.net" domain names, plaintiff has plaintiff has suffered damages and continues to suffer damages, in an amount to be proven at trial, but which plaintiff is informed, believes and thereon alleges exceeds the limited civil jurisdiction of this court.

- 23. As a direct and proximate result of defendants' cyber piracy of the "dennisprager.com" and "dennisprager.net" domain names, plaintiff is entitled to an order, pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 17528.5, to transfer these domain names to plaintiff.
- 24. Defendants' cyber piracy of the "dennisprager.com" and "dennisprager.net" domain names was willful and malicious, done in conscious derogation of plaintiff's rights, and done with the specific intention to damage plaintiff. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to an award of punitive damages against defendants, and each of them, in amounts sufficient to punish defendants and make an example of them.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(For Intentional Interference With Prospective Business Advantage Against All Defendants)

- 25. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 8, 12 through 14 and 19 through 22 of this complaint.
- 26. In or around July 2005, plaintiff and Salem Radio Network Incorporated ("Salem") entered into negotiations for a new contract whereby Salem would employ plaintiff as a radio talk show host and market recordings of the radio shows through the worldwide web. An integral part of this negotiation was plaintiff's and Salem's desire to market recordings of the radio show on a website using the domain name "dennisprager.com." In connection with these negotiations, plaintiff made demand on defendants to cease TPP's use of the "dennisprager.com" domain name and return the domain name to plaintiff.
- 27. Defendants knew about the ongoing negotiations between plaintiff and Salem and knew that plaintiff was demanding that TPP return the "dennisprager.com" domain name to plaintiff in part to make possible the new contractual relationship which plaintiff and Salem were negotiating.
- 28. Defendants intentionally interfered with the prospective economic relationship between plaintiff and Salem by wrongfully converting the "dennisprager.com" domain name to their own use and by engaging in cyber piracy, as alleged above. Defendants interfered with

these negotiations for the purpose of forcing plaintiff and/or Salem to negotiate a deal with defendants that would inure to Webley's financial benefit.

- 29. As a direct and proximate result of defendants' interference with the prospective economic relationship between plaintiff and Salem, plaintiff has been damaged by a substantial delay in consummating a new contract with Salem, by not having the "dennisprager.com" domain available for the implementation of the new contract and by disruption in the marketing of plaintiff's radio show, in amounts according to proof at trial.
- 30. Defendants' interference with the prospective economic relationship between plaintiff and Salem was willful and malicious, done in conscious derogation of plaintiff's rights, and done with the specific intention to damage plaintiff. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to an award of punitive damages against defendants, and each of them, in amounts sufficient to punish defendants and make an example of them.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(For Breaches of Fiduciary Duty Against Defendants Scott Webley and Does 1 through 10)

- 31. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 8, 12 through 14 and 19 through 22 of this complaint.
- 32. At all relevant times, defendant Webley has acted as the manager of TPP. As a member of TPP and the acting manager of TPP, Webley owed and owes fiduciary duties of trust, loyalty, good faith and due care to plaintiff, as the other member of TPP, and including the duty to avoid acting to obtain an advantage in the affairs of TPP by any misconduct, misrepresentation, concealment, threat or adverse pressure of any kind.
- 33. Defendant Webley breached his fiduciary duties to plaintiff by converting the "dennisprager.com" for his own use and benefit, by engaging in cyber piracy regarding both the "dennisprager.com" and "dennisprager.net" domain names, and by intentionally interfering in plaintiff's prospective economic relationship with Salem, as more fully alleged above.
- 34. In or around January 2006, defendant Webley breached his fiduciary duties to plaintiff by failing to inform plaintiff of business proposals Salem made to TPP and Webley to release TPP from all damages claims related to TPP's marketing and sale of plaintiff's radio

 shows, and to enter into a license agreement to permit TPP to merchandise and sell tangible expressions of the copyrighted content of plaintiff's radio show and related content, including lectures, courses, former radio shows and other Dennis Prager content, and by rejecting all such proposals, thereby causing Salem to sue TPP, exposing TPP to liability for substantial damages, and depriving TPP of substantial revenues, all for the purpose of maximizing defendant Webley's prospects for financial gain at the expense of plaintiff.

- 35. In or around June 2006, plaintiff became aware that defendant Webley breached his fiduciary duties to plaintiff by causing TPP to hire his personal attorney, David C. Gurnick, as TPP's attorney, despite the actual and potential conflicts of interest inherent in this joint representation and without plaintiff's informed written consent to this joint representation, all for the purpose of managing the affairs of TPP so as to maximize the prospects of financial gain for Webley at the expense of plaintiff.
- 36. In or around July 2006, after plaintiff objected to Mr. Gurnick's representation of TPP, defendant Webley breached his fiduciary duties to plaintiff by causing TPP to hire another attorney, Alisa S. Edelson of Kulik Gottesman Mouton & Siegel LLP, to represent both TPP and defendant Webley in the Ventura County Superior Court lawsuit Salem filed against TPP and Webley relating to TPP's allegedly unauthorized marketing and sale of plaintiff's radio show. Defendant Webley caused TPP to hire attorney Edelson to represent both TPP and Webley despite the actual and potential conflicts of interest inherent in this joint representation, without plaintiff's informed written consent to this joint representation and despite plaintiff's previous objection to any joint representation of TPP and Webley, all for the purpose of managing the affairs of TPP so as to maximize the prospects of financial gain for Webley at the expense of plaintiff.
- 37. As a direct and proximate result of defendant Webley's breaches of fiduciary duty, plaintiff has been damaged in that TPP has lost substantial potential revenues, is now exposed to Salem's damages claim of not less than \$300,000, and has incurred and will incur substantial legal fees in connection with the Salem lawsuit, all in amounts according to proof at trial.

1	38.	Defendant Webley's breaches of fiduciary duty were willful and malicious, done
2	in conscious d	erogation of plaintiff's rights, and done with the specific intention to damage
3	plaintiff. Plain	ntiff is therefore entitled to an award of punitive damages against defendants, and
4	each of them,	in amounts sufficient to punish defendants and make an example of them.
5	WHER	REFORE, plaintiff prays for judgment against defendants as follows:
6	On the	First Cause of Action:
7	1.	For an order dissolving TPP and winding up its affairs;
8	On the	Second Cause of Action;
9	2.	For an accounting of all of TPP's financial matters from TPP's inception to the
10	date of the acc	counting;
11	3.	For the imposition of a constructive trust on all of the assets of TPP for plaintiff's
12	benefit to the	extent of plaintiff's ownership interest in TPP;
13	On the	Third Cause of Action:
14	4.	For an order commanding defendants to deliver the "dennisprager.com" domain
15	name and the	"dennisprager.net" domain name to plaintiff and to execute all documents
16	necessary to r	estore the domain name to plaintiff;
17	5.	For compensatory damages according to proof;
18	6.	For punitive damages according to proof;
19	On the	Fourth Cause of Action:
20	7.	For compensatory damages according to proof;
21	8.	For an order commanding defendants to transfer the "dennisprager.com" and
22	"dennisprager	net" domain names to plaintiff and to execute all documents necessary to restore
23	these domain	names to plaintiff;
24	9.	For punitive damages according to proof;
2 5	On the	e Fifth Cause of Action:
24 25 26 27	10.	For compensatory damages according to proof;
² 27	11.	For punitive damages according to proof;
28	On the	e Sixth Cause of Action:

1	12.	For compensatory damages according to proof;
2	13.	For punitive damages according to proof;
3	On all	causes of action:
4	14.	For plaintiff's costs of suit incurred herein; and
5	15.	For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
6		
7	Dated: Augu	
8		Professional Corporation
9 10		By: Warm
10		Jeffrey W. Kramer Attorneys for Plaintiff Dennis Prager
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	·	
23 24 25 25 26 27 28		
26 27		
28		

TROY & GOULD PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

		CM-010
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State of In	umber, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Jeffrey W. Kramer (SBN 71547) 71547 TROY & GOULD Professional Corpora	tion	
I	lion	
1801 Century Park East, 16th Floor		
Los Angeles, California 90067-2367	7040) 004 4740	FILED
телерноме мо.: (310) 553-4441	FAX NO.: (310) 201-4746	LOS ANGELES
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Dennis Prager	·	LOS ANGELES SUPPRIOR COURT
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS	s Angeles	AUC 1:0 ages
STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill St.		AUG 1:6 2006
MAILING ADDRESS: SAME		John A. Ciana,
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, California	90012	$ by \sqrt{f} $
BRANCH NAME: CENTRAL DISTRICT		S. Gabb Deputy
CASE NAME: DENNIS PRAGER V. THE P	RAGER PERSPECTIVE, LLC. et al.	
	·	
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER: BC357131
□ Limited □ Limited	Counter Joinder	86337131
Amount (Amount)		JUDGE:
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defendan	
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 1811)	DEPT:
Items 1-5 b	elow must be completed (see instructions	on page 2).
1. Check one box below for the case type that		
Auto Tort	F7	ovisionally Complex Civil Litigatio
		al. Rules of Court, rules 1800-1812)
Uninsured motorist (46)	Collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Insurance coverage (18)	Construction defect (10)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Other contract (37)	Mass tort (40)
Asbestos (04)	Real Property	Securities litigation (28)
Product liability (24)	Eminent domain/Inverse	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical malpractice (45)	condemnation (14)	Insurance coverage claims arising from the
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	Wrongful eviction (33)	above listed provisionally complex case types (41)
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	U Other real property (26)	of Judgment
Business tort/unfair business practice (07	Onlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Civil rights (08)	Commercial (31)	scellaneous Civil Complaint
Defamation (13)	H Kesideililai (32)	RICO (27)
Fraud (16)	☐ Drugs (38)	· '
Intellectual property (19)	Judicial Review	scellaneous Civil Petition
Professional negligence (25)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Employment	Writ of mandate (02)	
Wrongful termination (36) Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
		es of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
factors requiring exceptional judicial manage	·	-E. Mariana
a. Large number of separately repr		
 b.		ith related actions pending in one or more courts es, states, or countries, or in a federal court
c. Substantial amount of document		stjudgment judicial supervision
Type of remedies sought (check all that ap		sgaagment jaalolal supervision
a. Me monetary b. Nonmonetary	ary; declaratory or injunctive relief c.	punitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify): 6)	3
[mail]	ass action suit.	
6. If there are any known related cases, file a		ny use form CM-015.)
Date: August 16, 2006		A 17
Jeffrey W. Kramer (SBN 71547)		Ma h. Krame
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(sign	NATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
in the second se	NOTICE	10
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the t		
under the Probate Code, Family Code, or		of Court, rule 201.8.) Failure to file may result
in sanctions		
• File this cover sheet in addition to any cover	er sneet required by local court rule.	nuct conto a contract this serves short
If this case is complex under rule 1800 et so other parties to the action or proceeding.	seq. or the California Rules of Court, you π	iust serve a copy of this cover sneet on all
 Unless this is a complex case, this cover s 	heet will be used for statistical purposes or	nly Page 1 of 2
		,

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers

If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 5 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. You do not need to submit a cover sheet with amended papers. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 201.8(c) and 227 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Complex Cases

In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 1800 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

Auto Tort

Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort

Asbestos (04) Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24) Medical Malpractice (45) Medical Malpractice-Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care Malpractice Other PI/PD/WD (23) Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism) Intentional Infliction of **Emotional Distress** Negligent Infliction of **Emotional Distress** Other PI/PD/WD

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice (07) Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment (08) Defarcation (e.g., slander, libel) (13) Frau**(**116) Intellectual Property (19) Professional Negligence (25) Legal Malpractice Offer Professional Malpractice 🛱 (not medical or legal

Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment

Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Contract Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence) Negligent Breach of Contract/ Warranty Other Breach of Contract/Warranty

Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09) Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections Case

Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18) Auto Subrogation

Other Coverage Other Contract (37) Contractual Fraud Other Contract Dispute

Real Property **Eminent Domain/Inverse**

Condemnation (14) Wrongful Eviction (33) Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property Mortgage Foreclosure Quiet Title Other Real Property (not eminent

domain, landlord/tenant, or

Unlawful Detainer

Commercial (31)

Residential (32)

foreclosure)

Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05) Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11) Writ of Mandate (02) Writ-Administrative Mandamus Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter Writ-Other Limited Court Case Review Other Judicial Review (39)

Review of Health Officer Order Notice of Appeal-Labor Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation

(Cal. Rules of Court Rules 1800-1812) Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)

Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) Securities Litigation (28) Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)

Insurance Coverage Claims (arising from provisionally complex case type listed above) (41)

Enforcement of Judgment

Enforcement of Judgment (20) Abstract of Judgment (Out of County) Confession of Judgment (nondomestic relations Sister State Judgment Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes Other Enforcement of Judgment

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

RICO (27) Other Complaint (not specified above) (42) Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (nonharassment) Mechanics Lien Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and Corporate Governance (21) Other Petition (not specified above) (43)Civil Harassment Workplace Violence Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse **Election Contest** Petition for Name Change Petition for Relief from Late Claim Other Civil Petition

CM-010 [Rev. January 1, 2006]

Page 2 of 2

SHORT TITLE:	
DENNIS PRAGER v. THE PRAGER PERSPECTIVE, LLC. et al.	

CASE NUMBER

BC357131

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

(CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)			
This form is required pursuant to LASC Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case	filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.		
Item I. Check the types of hearing and fill in the estimated length of hearing expec	•		
JURY TRIAL? YES CLASS ACTION? TYPES LIMITED CASE? YES TIME EST ITEM II. Select the correct district and courthouse location (4 steps - If you checked			
Step 1: After first completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet Form, find the main civ	il case cover sheet heading for your case in		
the left margin below, and, to the right in Column A , the Civil Case Cover Sheet c	ase type you selected.		
Step 2: Check one Superior Court type of action in Column B below which best	describes the nature of this case.		
Step 3: In Column C, circle the reason for the court location choice that applies	to the type of action you have checked.		
For any exception to the court location, see Los Angeles Superior Court Local Rul	e 2.0.		
Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (se	ee Column C below)		
 May be filed in Central (Other county, or no Bodily Injury/Property Damage). Location where cause of action arose. Location where bodily injury, death or damage occurred. Location where bodily injury, death or damage occurred. 	ation of property or permanently garaged vehicle. ation where petitioner resides. ation wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly. ation where one or more of the parties reside. ation of Labor Commissioner Office.		
Step 4: Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV.			
A B	c		

Civil Case Cover Sheet Applicable Reasons -Type of Action Category No. (Check only one) See Step 3 Above **Auto Tort** Auto (22) A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death 1., 2., 4. A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death - Uninsured Motorist Uninsured Motorist (46) 1., 2., 4. 2. A6070 Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos (04) Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Tort A7221 Asbestos- Personal Injury/Wrongful Death Product Liability (24) A7260 1., 2., 3. ,4., 8. Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons 1., 2., 4. Medical Malpractice (45) A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice 1., 2., 4. A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) 1., 2., 4. Other ☐ A7230 Personal Injury Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., 1., 2., 4. Property Damage assault, vandalism, etc.) Wrongful Death 1.,2., 3. ☐ A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress (23)1., 2., 4. A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Business Tort (07) A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract) 1., 2., 3. Çivil Rights (08) A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination 1., 2., 3. njury/Property Defamation (13) 1., 2., 3. A6010 Defamation (slander/libel) Fraud (16) A6013 Fraud (no contract) 1., 2., 3.

A6016 Intellectual Property

Intellectual Property (19)

2., 3.

amage/	:
Non-Personal Injury/Property Da	
Employment	
Contract	
Real Property	

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER
DENNIS PRAGER v. THE PRAGER PERSPECTIVE, LLC. et al.	

Death Tort (Con	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons -See Step 3 Above
Death Tort	Professional Negligence (25)	A6017 Legal Malpractice A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 3.
Wrongful	Other (35)	A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	2., 3.
	Wrongful Termination (36)	A6037 Wrongful Termination	1., 2., 3.
Employment	Other Employment (15)	A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	1., 2., 3. 10.
ot.	Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06) (not insurance)	A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not Unlawful Detainer or wrongful eviction) A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach - Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence) A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud) A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence)	2., 5. 2., 5. 1., 2., 5. 1., 2., 5.
Contract	Collections (09)	☐ A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff ☐ A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case	2., 5., 6 2., 5.
	Insurance Coverage (18)	A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1., 2., 5., 8.
	Other Contract (37)	A6009 Contractual Fraud A6031 Tortious Interference A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 8.
ıtç	Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2.
Property	Wrongful Eviction (33)	A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2 , 6.
Real	Other Real Property (26)	 ☐ A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure ☐ A6032 Quiet Title ☐ A6060 Other Real Property(not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure) 	2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6.
	Unlawful Detainer- Commercial (31)	A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
wful	Unlawful Detainer- Residential (32)	A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Judicial Review Unlawful Detainer	Uniawful Detainer- Drugs (38)	A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2. ,6.
Revie.	Asser Forfeiture (05)	A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case	2., 6.
icial F ainer	Petition re Arbitration (11)	A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2., 5.
Det 3			

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER
DENNIS PRAGER v. THE PRAGER PERSPECTIVE, LLC. et al.	

Judicial Review (Cont'd.)	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
ew (A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus	2., 8.
evi	Writ of Mandate	A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter	2.
al R	(02)	A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2.
Judici	Other Judicial Review (39)	A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	2., 8.
Provisionally Complex Litigation	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.
	Construction Defect (10)	A6007 Construction defect	1., 2., 3.
	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
ionally Co Litigation	Securities Litigation (28)	A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.
rovis	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
<u></u>	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Enforcement of Judgment	Enforcement of Judgment (20)	A6141 Sister State Judgment A6160 Abstract of Judgment A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations) A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2., 9. 2., 6. 2., 9. 2., 8. 2., 8. 2., 8. ,9.
		A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2., 0. ,0.
<u>=</u>	RICO (27)	A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2.,8.
Miscellaneous Civil Complaints	Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42)	 ☐ A6030 Declaratory Relief Only ☐ A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment) ☐ A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) ☐ A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex) 	1., 2., 8. 2., 8. 1., 2., 8. 1., 2. 8.
Ž	Partnership Corporation Governance(21)	A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.
Miscellaneous Civil Petitions	Other Petitions (Not Specified Above)	A6121 Civil Harassment A6123 Workplace Harassment A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case A6190 Election Contest A6110 Petition for Change of Name A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law A6100 Other Civil Petition	2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2. 2., 7. 2., 3., 4., 8. 2., 9.

Provisionally Complex Litigation

Miscellaneous Civil Complaints

SHORT TITLE: DENNIS PRAGER V. THE PRAGER PERSPECTIVE, LLC	CASE NUMBER C. et al.
Item III. Statement of Location: Enter the address of the accide other circumstance indicated in Item II., Step 3 on Page 1, as	
REASON: CHECK THE NUMBER UNDER COLUMN C WHICH APPLIES IN THIS CASE 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	ADDRESS: 19528 VENTURA BLVD
CITY: STATE: ZIP CODE: 4. 13.56	
Item IV. Declaration of Assignment: I declare under penalty of perture and correct and that the above-entitled matter is properly file Central District of the Los Angeles Superior C	•
subds. (b), (c) and (d)).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Dated: August 16, 2006	(SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY) Jeffrey W. Kramer (SBN 71547)

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet form CM-010.
- 4. Complete Addendum to Civil Case Cover Sheet form LASC Approved CIV 109, 03-04 (Rev. 03/06).
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
- Signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, JC form 982(a)(27), if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age, or if required by Court.
- 7. Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

CIV 109 03-04 (Rev. 03/06)